

S.2 Locally compact Hausdorff spaces:

(33)

Recall: X Hausdorff space. \rightsquigarrow

X locally cpt \Leftrightarrow every $x \in X$ has a compact neighbourhood.

Observation: Every locally compact space is subspace of a compact space.

Proof: X locally cpt, $w_0 \notin X$.

$$\text{Let } X' = X \cup \{w_0\} \text{ and } U \subseteq X' \text{ open} : \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} U \subseteq X \text{ open if } w_0 \notin U \\ \text{or } X \setminus U \text{ cpt if } w_0 \in U. \end{cases}$$

Easy to check: X' is a top space, and its top. restricted to X gives the original topology on X .

X' is called the Alexandroff (or one-point) compactification of X .

Definition 5.9: X top space, $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (or C or any vector space)

$$\text{Supp } f := \overline{\{x \in X \mid f(x) \neq 0\}} =: \text{support of } f$$

$$C(X) := \{f: X \rightarrow IK \mid f \text{ continuous}\}$$

$$C_b(X) := \{f \in C(X) \mid f \text{ bounded}\}$$

$$C_c(X) := \{f \in C(X) \mid \text{Supp } f \text{ is compact}\}.$$

Obviously: $C_c(X) \subseteq C_b(X) \subseteq C(X)$ with equality if X is cpt.

Note: $(C_b, \| \cdot \|_\infty)$ is a Banach space.

Important theorems (without proof)

Urysohn's lemma: X locally compact, $U \subseteq X$ open, $K \subseteq U$, K cpt

$$\Rightarrow \exists f \in C_c(X) \text{ st. } 0 \leq f \leq 1, f|_K = 1, f|_{X \setminus U} = 0. \quad (\star)$$



In particular: $\text{Supp } f$ is a compact neighbourhood of K .

Since X locally compact, there ex. $\exists V \subseteq X$ st. V open and $K \subseteq V \subseteq \bar{V} \subseteq U$ (Rudin, Thm. 2.7)

$$\Rightarrow f \text{ can be chosen such that } \text{Supp } f \subseteq U \quad (\text{apply } (\star) \text{ to } K \text{ and } V \text{ instead of } K \text{ and } U)$$

Theorem (Partition of unity)

X locally compact, $K \subseteq X$ cpt, $U_1, \dots, U_n \subseteq X$ open, st. $K \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n U_j$

$$\Rightarrow \exists f_1, \dots, f_n \in C_c(X) \text{ st.}$$

$$\text{i)} \forall j: f_j \geq 0 \text{ and } \text{Supp } f_j \subseteq U_j$$

$$\text{ii)} \forall x \in K: \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x) = 1$$

$$\text{iii)} \forall x \in X: \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x) \leq 1$$

Corollary: X locally compact, $K \subseteq X$ cpt, $U_1, \dots, U_n \subseteq X$ open with $K \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n U_j$

$$\Rightarrow \exists K_j \text{ cpt s.t. } K_j \subseteq U_j \text{ and } K = \bigcup_{j=1}^n K_j.$$

Proof: Choose f_1, \dots, f_n ~~partition~~ partition of unity subordinate to U_1, \dots, U_n .

and define $K_j := \text{Supp } f_j \cap K$.

$$\Rightarrow \text{all } K_j \text{ are compact and } \bigcup_{j=1}^n K_j \subseteq K.$$

on the other hand, for $x \in K \exists j \in \mathbb{N}: f_j(x) \neq 0$ because $\sum f_j(x) = 1$

$$\Rightarrow x \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n \text{Supp } f_j \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n K_j = K$$

locally finite & inner regular

Note: X locally compact Hausdorff space, μ Radon measure on X

$$\Rightarrow \forall K \subseteq X \text{ cpt. } \mu(K) < \infty \quad (\mu \text{ locally finite \& } X \text{ locally cpt} \Rightarrow \mu(K) < \infty, K \subseteq X, K \text{ cpt})$$

$$\Rightarrow C_c(X) \subseteq L_1(X)$$

Pruefer: $v \in C_c(X) \Rightarrow \|v\|_\infty < \infty$ and v measurable (because continuous)

$$\Rightarrow \int_X |v| d\mu \leq \int_X \|v\|_\infty \chi_{\text{Supp}(v)} d\mu = \|v\|_\infty \mu(\text{Supp } v) < \infty$$

\Rightarrow Every Radon measure on X induces a positive linear form on $C_c(X)$ (\star)

where: Y IK -VS, $J: Y \rightarrow IK$ is called a linear form if $(IK = \mathbb{R} \text{ or } C)$

$$J(\alpha x + y) = \alpha J(x) + J(y), \quad \alpha \in IK, x, y \in Y.$$

If Y is a space of IK -valued functions (e.g. $Y = C_c(X)$),

then J is called a positive linear form on Y if it is a lin. form on Y and $J(v) \geq 0$ whenever $v \geq 0$

Question: Is the converse of (\star) true?

That is: Given a positive linear form on $C_c(X)$, can it be represented by a Radon-measure μ ?

Theorem 5.2. (Extension Theorem)

Let X Hausdorff space, $\mu_0: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ s.t. (K1)–(K3) and (S) hold.

\Rightarrow exists a unique measure $\mu: \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ s.t. μ is inner regular and $\mu|_{\mathcal{R}} = \mu_0$.

This measure is given by

$$\mu(A) = \sup\{\mu_0(K) \mid K \in \mathcal{R}, K \subseteq A\}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(X). \quad (4)$$

Proof.

Uniqueness: If μ_0 can be extended to an inner regular measure μ on $\mathcal{B}(X)$, then it must be given by (4) (by inner regularity).

Existence: (Similar to the proof that μ^+ is an outer measure and a measure on $\mathcal{O}(H)$ see Carathéodory's theorem)

Define $\mu: \mathcal{P}X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by (4).

By (K1): $\mu(K) = \mu_0(K)$ ($K \in \mathcal{R}$) and obviously: $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ for $A \subseteq B$.

For $K \in \mathcal{R}$ define

$$\mathcal{O}_K := \{A \subseteq X \mid \mu(K) \leq \mu(K \cap A) + \mu(K \setminus A)\}$$

$$\text{and } \mathcal{O} := \bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{R}} \mathcal{O}_K. \quad \text{Note: } \geq \text{ is always true}$$

We will show: \mathcal{O} is a σ -algebra, $\mu|_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a measure and $\mathcal{B}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{O}$.

(1) \mathcal{O} closed under taking complements $\forall \emptyset \neq A \in \mathcal{O}$

Obviously: $A \in \mathcal{O} \Rightarrow X \setminus A \in \mathcal{O}$.

$$\emptyset \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \mu(\emptyset) = \mu_0(\emptyset) = \mu_0(\emptyset) + \mu_0(\emptyset) \Rightarrow \mu(\emptyset) = 0$$

(2) $(A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{P}X$ pairwise disjoint $\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j) \leq \mu(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j)$

Let $A, B \subseteq X$ w.t.c. $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

Then: $\mu(A) + \mu(B) \leq \mu(A \cup B)$ because:

• clear if $\mu(A) = \infty$ or $\mu(B) = \infty$ since then also $\mu(A \cup B) = \infty$

• if $\mu(A) < \infty$ and $\mu(B) < \infty$:

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $K, L \in \mathcal{R}$ with $K \subseteq A, L \subseteq B$ and

$$\mu(A) + \mu(B) - \varepsilon \leq \mu(K) + \mu(L) = \mu(K \cup L) \leq \mu(A \cup B)$$

Now let $(A_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{P}X$, pairwise disjoint.

$$\text{By induction: } \sum_{j=1}^N \mu(A_j) \leq \mu(\bigcup_{j=1}^N A_j) \leq \mu(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j)$$

True for every N

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j) \leq \mu(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j).$$

(10)

③ Subadditivity & \mathcal{O} is σ -algebra

Let $(M_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$. We will show: $M := \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} M_j \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\mu(M) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(M_j)$

Together with ② $\Rightarrow \mu$ is σ -additiv.

Fix $K \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ (To show: $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$, that is $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \mu(K) \leq \mu(K \cap M) + \mu(K \setminus M) + \varepsilon$)

Since $M_n \in \mathcal{O}$, we can choose $A_n, B_n \in \mathcal{R}$ w.t.c. $\mu_0(K) \leq \mu_0(A_n) + \mu_0(B_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}$ and $A_n \subseteq K \cap M_n$ and $B_n \subseteq K \setminus M_n$ (4)

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: A'_n := (A_n \cup \dots \cup A_{n-1}) \cap A_n \subseteq K \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} M_j) \cap M_n \subseteq A_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} B'_n &:= (B_n \cap \dots \cap B_{n-1}) \cup B_n \subseteq K \setminus (\bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} M_j) \cup (K \setminus M_n) \\ &\stackrel{(K1)}{\Rightarrow} A'_n, B'_n \text{ are compact and } A'_n \cap B'_n = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow -\varepsilon/2^n \stackrel{(1)}{\leq} \mu_0(A'_n) + \mu_0(B'_n) - \mu_0(K) \quad (K \supseteq A'_n \cup B'_n \Rightarrow \mu_0(K) \geq \mu_0(A'_n) + \mu_0(B'_n))$$

$$\stackrel{(K2)}{\leq} \mu_0(A_n) - \mu_0(A'_n) + \mu_0(B_n) - \mu_0(B'_n)$$

$$(S) = \sup\{\mu(C) \mid C \in \mathcal{R}, C \subseteq A_n \setminus A'_n\} = \sup\{\mu(C) \mid C \in \mathcal{R}, C \subseteq B'_n \setminus B_n\}$$

$$\text{def. of } \mu = \mu(A_n \setminus A'_n) - \mu(B'_n \setminus B_n)$$

$$= \mu((A_n \cup \dots \cup A_{n-1}) \setminus (A'_n \cup \dots \cup A_{n-1})) - \mu((B_n \cap \dots \cap B_{n-1}) \setminus (B'_n \cap \dots \cap B_{n-1}))$$

$$\stackrel{(S) \text{ and def. of } \mu}{=} \mu(A_n \cup \dots \cup A_{n-1}) - \mu(A'_n \cup \dots \cup A_{n-1}) - \mu(B'_n \cap \dots \cap B_{n-1}) + \mu(B_n \cap \dots \cap B_{n-1})$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=2}^N -\varepsilon/2^n \stackrel{\text{telescopic sum!}}{\leq} \sum_{n=2}^N (--) = \mu(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_N) + \mu(B_N \cap \dots \cap B_1) - \mu(A_1) - \mu(B_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_N) + \mu(B_N \cap \dots \cap B_1) \geq \mu(A_1) + \mu(B_1) - \varepsilon \sum_{n=2}^N \frac{1}{2^n} \stackrel{(2)}{\geq} \mu(K) - \varepsilon.$$

$$\boxed{\text{Note: } \mu(K \cap M) + \mu(K \setminus M) \geq \mu(A_n \cup \dots \cup A_1) + \mu(\bigcap_{j=1}^n B_j) \geq \mu(A_n \cup \dots \cup A_1) = \mu(A_n \cup \dots \cup A_1) + \mu(\bigcap_{j=1}^n B_j) + \mu(\bigcap_{j=1}^n B_j) - \mu(\bigcap_{j=1}^n B_j) \geq \mu(K) - \varepsilon + \mu(\bigcap_{j=1}^n B_j) - \mu(\bigcap_{j=1}^n B_j)}$$

\Rightarrow we have to show that $\mu(\bigcap_{j=1}^n B_j) - \mu(\bigcap_{j=1}^n B_j)$ is small for n large.

Observe that $\bigcap_{j=1}^n B_j$ is compact (because it is a closed subset of the open set B_1)

By (S) $\exists D \in \mathcal{R}, D \subseteq B_1 \setminus \bigcap_{j=1}^n B_j$ s.t. $\mu_0(D) \geq \mu_0(B_1) - \mu_0(\bigcap_{j=1}^n B_j) - \varepsilon$

By construction: $D \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^n B_j = \emptyset$

$\Rightarrow \exists N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\forall N \geq N_0 \quad D \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^N B_j = \emptyset$

$\Rightarrow \forall N \geq N_0 \quad \mu_0(D) + \mu_0(\bigcap_{j=1}^N B_j) = \mu_0(D \cup \bigcap_{j=1}^N B_j) \leq \mu_0(B_1)$

$\stackrel{(3)}{\leq} \mu_0(D) + \mu_0(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j) + \varepsilon$

$\Rightarrow \forall N \geq N_0 \quad \mu_0(\bigcap_{j=1}^N B_j) - \mu_0(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j) \leq \varepsilon. \quad (4)$

$\mu_0(D) \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\substack{\exists A_i \cup \dots \cup A_n \\ \in \mathcal{A}_k}} \mu(\overline{K \cap M}) + \mu(K \setminus M) \geq \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) + \mu(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j) \geq \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) + \mu(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j) - \varepsilon \\ & \stackrel{(2)}{\geq} \mu(K) - 2\varepsilon \quad \text{for } n \geq n_0 \end{aligned}$$

Since for every $\varepsilon > 0 \Rightarrow \mu(K \cap M) + \mu(K \setminus M) = \mu(K) \Rightarrow M \in \mathcal{A}_k \Rightarrow M \in \mathcal{A}$

In addition:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall n \geq n_0 \quad & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(M_n \cap K) + \mu(K \setminus M) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) + \mu(K \setminus M) \\ & \geq \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) + \mu(K \setminus M) \geq \mu(K) - 2\varepsilon \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(M_n \cap K) + \mu(K \setminus M) & \geq \mu(K) - 2\varepsilon \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(M_n \cap K) + \mu(K \setminus M) & \geq \mu(K) \quad \text{since } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ arbitrary} \quad (5) \\ \Rightarrow \mu(M) &= \sup \left\{ \mu_0(K) \mid K \in \mathbb{R}, K \subseteq M \right\} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(M_n \cap K) \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

(6) together with (5) shows that μ is a measure.

(4) $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_k$: Given \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra and the set of all closed subsets of X generates \mathcal{B} , it suffices to prove: $A \subseteq X$ closed $\Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{A}$.

So, fix $A \subseteq X$ closed, $K \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(K) - \mu_0(K \cap A) &\stackrel{(5)}{=} \sup \{ \mu_0(C) \mid C \in \mathbb{R}, C \subseteq K \setminus (K \cap A) \} \\ &= \sup \{ \mu_0(C) \mid C \in \mathbb{R}, C \subseteq K \setminus A \} \\ &= \mu(K \setminus A) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_0(K) = \mu(K \cap A) + \mu(K \setminus A) \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{A}_k \Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ since } K \in \mathbb{R} \text{ arbit.}$$

□

(105)

Theorem 5.13. (Riesz representation theorem)

X locally compact Hausdorff space, $\mathcal{J}: C_c(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ pos. linear form.

$\Rightarrow \exists ! \mu: \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ Radon measure (locally finite & inner regular)

such that $\mathcal{J}(f) = \int_X f d\mu, \quad f \in C_c(X).$ (*)

The following holds:

$$\forall K \subseteq X, K \text{ op} \quad \mu(K) = \inf \{ \mathcal{J}(f) \mid f \in C_c(X), f \geq \chi_K \} \quad (1)$$

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{B}(X) \quad \mu(A) = \sup \{ \mu(K) \mid K \in \mathbb{R}, K \subseteq A \} \quad (2)$$

Proof.

Uniqueness: Let μ be a Radon measure on X which satisfies (*).
 μ inner regular $\Rightarrow \mu$ determined by its values on compact subsets
 \Rightarrow we only have to show (1).

" \leq " $f \in C_c(X)$ with $f \geq \chi_K$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{J}(f) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \int_X f d\mu \geq \int_X \chi_K d\mu = \mu(K)$$

" \geq " Fix $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\Rightarrow \exists U \ni K \text{ open, s.t. } \mu(U) \leq \mu(K) + \varepsilon. \quad (\text{Prop 5.7 iii)})$$

Partition of unity $\Rightarrow \exists f \in C_c(X)$ s.t. $\chi_K \leq f \leq \chi_U$.

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{J}(f) = \int_X f d\mu \leq \int_X \chi_U d\mu = \mu(U) \leq \mu(K) + \varepsilon.$$

Existence: Define $\mu: \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by (1) and (2).

By the extension theorem: μ is an inner regular measure.

μ is locally finite because $\int_X f d\mu = \int_X \chi_K d\mu \leq \mu(K) < \infty \quad (K \in \mathbb{R}).$ (Observ. S.3.c)

\Rightarrow we only have to prove (*).

Since $f \in C_c(X) \subseteq L_1(X)$, we can assume $f \geq 0$ (\mathcal{J} and $\int_X f d\mu$ are linear)

$$\textcircled{1} \quad f \in C_c(X), f \geq 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{J}(f) = \int_X f d\mu$$

By def. of $\int_X f d\mu$, it suffices to show $\int_X v d\mu \leq \mathcal{J}(f)$ for all $v \in E^+(X)$
 with $v \leq f$.