

Examples:

- $\dim \mathbb{R}^n = n$
- $\dim M(n \times m) = nm$
- $M(n \times n, \text{sym}) \cong$ symmetric $(n \times n)$ -matrices
 $\Rightarrow \dim M(n \times n, \text{sym}) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$
- P_n := polynomials of degree $\leq n$.
 $\Rightarrow \dim P_n = n+1$.
- $P =$ polynomials
 $\Rightarrow \dim P = \infty$
- $\text{Proof. } \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad P_n \subseteq P \Rightarrow \forall n \dim P_n \cong \dim P_n = n+1$
 $\Rightarrow \dim P = \infty$
- $C(\mathbb{R}) =$ all continuous fct's $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
 $\Rightarrow \dim C(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$.
- $\text{Proof. } P \subseteq C(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \dim C(\mathbb{R}) \geq \dim P = \infty$.
 $\Rightarrow \dim C(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$.
- All possible subspaces of \mathbb{R}^2 are: $\{0\}$, lines passing through $(0,0)$, \mathbb{R}^2 .
Proof. Let U be a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 and $n = \dim U$.
 - $n = 0 \Rightarrow U = \{0\}$
 - $n = 1 \Rightarrow U = \text{gen } \{u\}$ for some $u \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$
 $\Rightarrow U$ is a line containing $(0,0)$, parallel to u .
 - $n = 2 \Rightarrow \dim U = \dim \mathbb{R}^2 \Rightarrow U = \mathbb{R}^2$.
 - $n \geq 3$ is not possible.
- All possible subspaces of \mathbb{R}^3 are: $\{0\}$, lines passing through $(0,0,0)$, planes containing $(0,0,0)$, \mathbb{R}^3 .
Proof. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ subspace, $\dim U = n$.
 - $n = 0 \Rightarrow U = \{0\}$
 - $n = 1 \Rightarrow \exists u \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. $U = \text{gen } \{u\} \Rightarrow U$ is a line.
 - $n = 2 \Rightarrow \exists u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$, lin. ind. s.t. $U = \text{gen } \{u_1, u_2\} \Rightarrow U$ is a plane
 - $n = 3 \Rightarrow U = \mathbb{R}^3$.
 - $n \geq 4$ is not possible.

4.6. Linear maps.

Definition. Let U, V be vector spaces. A function $A: U \rightarrow V$ is called linear if:

- (i) $\forall u_1, u_2 \in U \quad A(u_1 + u_2) = Au_1 + Au_2$
- (ii) $\forall u \in U, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \quad A(\lambda u) = \lambda Au$.

In this case, A is called a linear function, or linear map or linear operator.

A is called injective (or one-to-one) if: $x \neq y \Rightarrow Ax \neq Ay$

(In other words: $Ax = Ay \Rightarrow x = y$).

A is called surjective if: $\forall v \in V \exists x \in U$ s.t. $Ax = v$.

A is called bijective if it is injective and surjective.

The kernel of A (or null space of A) is

$$\ker A := N_A := \{x \in U \mid Ax = 0\} \subseteq U$$

The range of A (or image of A) is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}(A) &:= \text{Rg}(A) = \{Ax \mid x \in U\} \\ &= \{y \in V \mid \exists x \in U \text{ s.t. } Ax = y\} \subseteq V \end{aligned}$$

Observation.

- A injective $\Leftrightarrow \ker A = \{0\}$. Proof. Trivial.
- A surjective $\Leftrightarrow \text{Im } A = V$.
- $A0 = 0$. Proof. $A0 = A(0+0) = A0 + A0 = 2A(0) \Rightarrow A(0) = 0$.

Examples of linear maps:

- Every matrix $A \in M(m \times n)$ can be seen as a linear map $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
 (We will show later that every lin. map $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is "indeed" a matrix).

We know:

* $m < n \rightarrow A$ cannot be injective.

Proof. $Ax = 0$ represents a homogeneous system of m equations for n unknowns. We know that this system has infinitely many solutions because $m < n \Rightarrow \ker(A) \neq \{0\}$

* $m = n$, and $\det A \neq 0 \iff A$ is bijective ($\iff \dim(\text{Im} A) = n \iff \dim(\ker A) = 0$)

• Let $C^1(\mathbb{R}) = \{ \text{functions } \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ which are differentiable} \}$.
 $\rightarrow T: C^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$, $Tf = f'$ is linear.

• Integration is linear.

Proposition: Let $A: U \rightarrow V$ be a linear map. Then:

- i) $\ker A$ is a subspace of U
- ii) $\text{Im}(A)$ is a subspace of V .

(For matrix A this is also proved in Talle & B)

Proof. i) Let $u_1, u_2 \in \ker A$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \begin{cases} A(u_1 + u_2) = Au_1 + Au_2 = 0 + 0 = 0 \Rightarrow u_1 + u_2 \in \ker A \\ A(\lambda u_1) = \lambda Au_1 = \lambda \cdot 0 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda u_1 \in \ker A \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$\rightarrow \ker A$ is a subspace of U .

ii) Let $y_1, y_2 \in \text{Im}(A)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

$\Rightarrow \exists x_1, x_2 \in U$ s.t. $y_1 = Au_1, y_2 = Au_2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \begin{cases} y_1 + y_2 = Au_1 + Au_2 = A(u_1 + u_2) \in \text{Im}(A) \\ \lambda y_1 = \lambda Au_1 = A(\lambda u_1) \in \text{Im}(A) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$\rightarrow \text{Im} A$ is a subspace of V .

Definition: $A: U \rightarrow V$ linear.

- $\underline{V(A)} := \dim(\ker A)$ = nullity of A = multid. de A
 - $\underline{S(A)} = \dim(\text{Im} A)$ = rank of A = range of A .
- (Obs. Unfortunately, "range" is also used for "Range of A^n ".)

Observations: Let $A: U \rightarrow V$ linear. Then:

(i) $u_1, \dots, u_n \in U, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow A(\lambda_1 u_1 + \dots + \lambda_n u_n) = \lambda_1 A u_1 + \dots + \lambda_n A u_n$.

(ii) If A is injective, then A can be inverted: $A^{-1}: \text{Rg}(A) \rightarrow U$.
The inverse function is also linear.

Proposition. Let U, V be vector spaces, $A: U \rightarrow V$ linear.

Let $x_1, \dots, x_m \in U, y_1 = Ax_1, \dots, y_m = Ax_m$. Then:

- (i) If x_1, \dots, x_m are lin. indep. $\rightarrow y_1, \dots, y_m$ are lin. indep.
- (ii) If y_1, \dots, y_m are lin. indep. $\rightarrow x_1, \dots, x_m$ are lin. indep.
- (iii) Suppose that A is invertible. Then:
 x_1, \dots, x_m are lin. indep. $\iff y_1, \dots, y_m$ are lin. indep.

Proof. (i) Suppose that x_1, \dots, x_m are lin. indep.

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ not all } = 0, \text{ s.t. } \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_m x_m = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \lambda_1 y_1 + \dots + \lambda_m y_m = \lambda_1 Ax_1 + \dots + \lambda_m Ax_m \\ = A(\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_m x_m) = A \cdot 0 = 0 \\ \rightarrow y_1, \dots, y_m \text{ are lin. dep.} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Follows from (i)

(iii) Note. If A is invertible, then $x_1 = A^{-1}y_1, \dots, x_m = A^{-1}y_m$.

The claim follows from (ii), applied to A and A^{-1} .

Observe. " $x_1, \dots, x_m \in U$ lin. indep. $\implies Ax_1, \dots, Ax_m \in V$ lin. indep." is in general false.

Theorem. Let U, V vector spaces and let $A: U \rightarrow V$, $E: U \rightarrow U$, $F: V \rightarrow V$ be linear maps. Assume that E and F are bijective.

- \Rightarrow (i) $\text{Im}(A) = \text{Im}(AE)$, in particular $\text{g}(A) = \text{g}(AE)$
- (ii) $\text{v}(AE) = \text{v}(A)$, but in general $\ker(AE) \neq \ker(A)$
- (iii) $\ker(FA) = \ker(A)$, in particular $\text{v}(FA) = \text{v}(A)$
- (iv) $\text{g}(FA) = \text{g}(A)$ but in general $\text{Im}(FA) \neq \text{Im}(A)$.

Proof. Observe that by assumption, E and F are invertible and that E^{-1} and F^{-1} are linear maps.

(i) let $y \in V$. Then: $y \in \text{Im}(A) \iff \exists x \in U$ s.t. $y = Ax = AEE^{-1}x = AE(\overbrace{E^{-1}x}^{u})$
 $\iff \exists u \in U$ s.t. $y = AEu$

(ii) let $k = \dim(\ker A)$ and let u_1, \dots, u_k be a basis of $\ker A$.
 $\Rightarrow u_1, \dots, u_k$ are lin. ind. Prop: $E^{-1}u_1, \dots, E^{-1}u_k$ are lin. ind.

Observe: $AE(E^{-1}u_j) = Au_j = 0 \quad (j=1, \dots, k)$
 $\Rightarrow E^{-1}u_1, \dots, E^{-1}u_k \in \ker(AE)$
 $\rightarrow \dim(\ker(AE)) \geq k = \dim(\ker A)$ (1)

let $l = \dim(\ker(AE))$ and let w_1, \dots, w_l be a basis of $\ker(AE)$.
 $\Rightarrow w_1, \dots, w_l$ are lin. ind. Prop: EW_1, \dots, EW_l are lin. indep.

Observe: $A(Ew_j) = (AE)w_j = 0 \quad (j=1, \dots, l)$
 $\Rightarrow EW_1, \dots, EW_l \in \ker(A)$
 $\rightarrow \dim(\ker(A)) \geq l = \dim(\ker(AE))$ (2)

(1) and (2) show that $\dim(\ker A) = \dim(\ker(AE))$.
 F is invertible
 (iii) Let $x \in U$. Then:
 $x \in \ker(FA) \iff FAX = 0 \iff Ax = 0 \iff x \in \ker(A)$.

(iv) let $k = \dim(\text{Im} A)$ and v_1, \dots, v_k basis of $\text{Im}(A)$.
 $\Rightarrow v_1, \dots, v_k$ are lin. ind. Prop: Fv_1, \dots, Fv_k are lin. ind.

Since $Fy_j \in \text{Im}(FA) \quad (j=1, \dots, k)$ we have that:
 $\dim(\text{Im}(FA)) \geq k = \dim(\text{Im} A)$. (3)

let $l = \dim(\text{Im}(FA))$ and y_1, \dots, y_l basis of $\text{Im}(FA)$.
 $\Rightarrow y_1, \dots, y_l$ are lin. ind. Prop: $F^{-1}y_1, \dots, F^{-1}y_l$ are lin. ind.

All $F^{-1}y_j \in \text{Im}(A)$ (because: $y_j \in \text{Im}(FA) \iff \exists x \in U$ s.t. $y_j = FAX$)
 $\rightarrow \dim(\text{Im}(A)) \geq l = \dim(\text{Im}(FA)) \Rightarrow F^{-1}y_j = F^{-1}(FAX) = AX \in \text{Im}(A)$
 (3) and (4) $\Rightarrow \dim(\text{Im}(A)) = \dim(\text{Im}(FA))$.