

Teoremas de descomposición de Kolmogorov y Naimark

Arnaldo de la Barrera Correa- Universidad De Pamplona, Colombia

Escuela de Física Matemática 26 - 30 de Mayo de 2014, Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá- Colombia

RESUMEN
<p>El objetivo de este trabajo es presentar algunos resultados conocidos respecto a la descomposición de núcleos definidos positivos a valores operadores . En primer lugar se presenta una versión de un resultado clásico de Kolmogorov referente a un núcleo arbitrario. A continuación se presenta una versión dada por Evans y Lewis ver ([1] Teorema 3.2), de un resultado clásico de Naimark [2] referente a la descomposición de núcleos de Toeplitz ordinarios. Finalmente se presenta una versión del Teorema de Naimark para el caso de los núcleos de Toeplitz generalizados.</p>
Positive definite kernel
<p>Consider a family $\{\mathcal{H}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of Hilbert spaces. A map \mathbf{K} on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_m, \mathcal{H}_n)$ for $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$ is called a <i>kernel</i> on \mathbb{Z}. A sequence $\{\mathbf{h}_n\}$ in $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_n$ is said to have <i>finite support</i> if $\mathbf{h}_n = \mathbf{0}$ except for finitely many \mathbf{n}'s. And a map \mathbf{K} on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_m, \mathcal{H}_n)$ for $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$ is called a <i>positive definite kernel</i> on \mathbb{Z} if</p> $\sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) \mathbf{h}_m, \mathbf{h}_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_n} \geq \mathbf{0},$ <p>for all sequence $\{\mathbf{h}_n\}$ en $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_n$ with finite support.</p>
The Hilbert space induced by a positive definite kernel
<p>Let \mathbf{K} be a positive definite kernel. Let \mathcal{F} be the linear space of the elements of $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_n$ and \mathcal{F}_o the space of the elements of \mathcal{F} with finite support. Define $\mathbf{B}_K : \mathcal{F}_o \times \mathcal{F}_o \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by the formula</p> $\mathbf{B}_K(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) \mathbf{f}_m, \mathbf{g}_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_n}, \tag{1}$ <p>for $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{F}_o$, $\mathbf{f} = \{\mathbf{f}_n\}$, $\mathbf{g} = \{\mathbf{g}_n\}$, $\mathbf{f}_n, \mathbf{g}_n \in \mathcal{H}_n$. Remark that \mathbf{B}_K satisfies all the properties of an inner product, except for the fact that the set $\mathcal{N}_K = \{\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{F}_o : \mathbf{B}_K(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}) = \mathbf{0}\}$ may be non trivial. The equality $\mathcal{N}_K = \{\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{F}_o : \mathbf{B}_K(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{g}) = \mathbf{0}, \text{ for all } \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{F}_o\}$ is a consequence of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. It follows that \mathcal{N}_K is a linear subspace of \mathcal{F}_o. Then the quotient space $\mathcal{F}_o/\mathcal{N}_K$ is also a linear space. If $[\mathbf{h}]$ denotes the class in $\mathcal{F}_o/\mathcal{N}_K$ of the element \mathbf{h} then the map given by the formula</p> $\langle [\mathbf{h}], [\mathbf{g}] \rangle_K = \mathbf{B}_K(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{g}), \quad \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{F}_o$ <p>is well defined and it is easily checked that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_K$ is an inner product on $\mathcal{F}_o/\mathcal{N}_K$. The definition of this inner product is independent of the chosen representatives. The completion of $\mathcal{F}_o/\mathcal{N}_K$ with respect to the norm induced by this inner product is a Hilbert space denoted by \mathcal{H}_K and it is known as the <i>Hilbert space induced by the positive definite kernel K</i>.</p>
The Kolmogorov decomposition theorem
<p>The following theorem is a version of a classical result of Kolmogorov (for reviews of the history of this result see [2]).</p> <p>THEOREM Let $\{\mathcal{H}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a family of Hilbert spaces and let $\mathbf{K} : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_m, \mathcal{H}_n)$ be a positive definite kernel . Then there exits a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_K and an application \mathbf{V} defined on \mathbb{Z} such that $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n}) \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_n, \mathcal{H}_K)$ for each $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and</p> <p>(a) $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{V}^*(\mathbf{n})\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{m})$, $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$.</p> <p>(b) $\mathcal{H}_K = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n})\mathcal{H}_n$.</p> <p>(c) The decomposition is unique in the following sense: if \mathcal{H}' is another Hilbert space and \mathbf{V}' defined on \mathbb{Z} is an application such that $\mathbf{V}'(\mathbf{n}) \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_n, \mathcal{H}_K)$ for each $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ which satisfy (a) and (b), then there exists a unitary operator $\Phi : \mathcal{H}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ such that $\Phi \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{V}'(\mathbf{n})$ for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$. This theorem and its proof can be see in [1, Teorema 3.1].</p>
Proof

Proof
<p>The proof is as follows. Let \mathcal{H}_K be the Hilbert space induced by the positive definite kernel \mathbf{K}. If $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{H}_n$, then the element $\mathbf{h}_n \in \mathcal{F}_o$ is defined as follows:</p> $\mathbf{h}_n(\mathbf{m}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{h} & \text{si } \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{n} \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{si } \mathbf{m} \neq \mathbf{n} \end{cases} \tag{2}$ <p>Therefore</p> $\ [\mathbf{h}_n]\ _K^2 = \langle [\mathbf{h}_n], [\mathbf{h}_n] \rangle_K = \langle \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_n}.$ <p>The map \mathbf{V} can be defined by the formula $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n}) : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_K$ by</p> $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n})\mathbf{h} = [\mathbf{h}_n] = [\mathbf{h}\delta_n], \quad \mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{H}_n,$ <p>where δ_n is the Kronecker delta. Then we have</p> $\ \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n})\mathbf{h}\ _K^2 = \langle \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_n} \leq \ \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n})\ \ \mathbf{h}\ _{\mathcal{H}_n}^2, \quad \mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{H}_n.$ <p>Which shows that $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n}) \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_n, \mathcal{H}_K)$.</p> <p>A map \mathbf{V} which satisfies the property (a) of the previous theorem is called a <i>Kolmogorov decomposition of the kernel K</i> or simply a <i>decomposition of the kernel K</i> (see [1]). The property (b) is referred to as the <i>minimality property</i> of the Kolmogorov decomposition. The meaning of the property (c) is that, under the minimality condition (b) the Kolmogorov decomposition is essentially unique.</p>
Positive definite Toeplitz kernel
<p>The kernel \mathbf{K} is called a <i>positive definite Toeplitz kernel</i> if the family reduces to a single Hilbert space, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{H}$ for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the positive definite kernel $\mathbf{K} : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})$ has the property</p> $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n}),$ <p>for all $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$, for a certain map $\mathbf{W} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})$.</p> <p>Proposition. Let $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_1$ be two Hilbert spaces, given $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_1)$ and $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ a unitary operator, let $\mathbf{K} : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be a kernel given by</p> $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{Q}^* \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{Q}.$ <p>Then \mathbf{G} is a positive definite hermitian Toeplitz kernel.</p> <p>proof Clearly \mathbf{G} is a Toeplitz kernel. \mathbf{G} is Hermitian, since,</p> $(\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}))^* = \mathbf{Q}^*(\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{n}})^* \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}^*(\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{n}})^{-1} \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}^* \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}).$ <p>\mathbf{G} is positive definite. Indeed, let $\mathbf{h} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a sequence with finite support, then</p> $\sum_{(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \langle \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) \mathbf{h}_m, \mathbf{h}_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \langle \mathbf{Q}^* \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{h}_m, \mathbf{h}_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} \langle \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{h}_m, \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{h}_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1} = \left\ \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{h}_m \right\ _{\mathcal{H}_1}^2 \geq \mathbf{0}.$

Naimark Theorem
<p>THEOREM Let \mathbf{K} be a positive definite Toeplitz kernel defined on \mathbb{Z}. Then there exist a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_K, a unitary operator \mathbf{S} in $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_K)$ and an operator \mathbf{Q} in $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_K)$ such that</p> <p>(a) $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{Q}^* \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{Q}$, $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$.</p> <p>(b) $\mathcal{H}_K = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{Q} \mathcal{H}$.</p> <p>(c) If there exist another Hilbert space \mathcal{H}', a unitary operator \mathbf{S}' in $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}')$ and an operator \mathbf{Q}' in $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ such that (a), (b) hold, then there exists a unitary operator $\Phi : \mathcal{H}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ such that $\Phi \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{Q}' \mathbf{h}$ for all $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathbf{S}' \Phi = \Phi \mathbf{S}$. Also $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{0})$ where $\mathbf{V} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_K)$ is the Kolmogorov decomposition of the kernel \mathbf{K}.</p>
Proof
<p>By Theorem Kolmogorov, there exist a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_K and a map $\mathbf{V} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_K)$ such that the following assertions hold</p> <p>a') $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{V}^*(\mathbf{n})\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{m})$, for all $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$</p> <p>b') $\mathcal{H}_K = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n})\mathcal{H}$.</p> <p>Using (b'), one defines the operator $\mathbf{S} : \mathcal{H}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_K$ as follows</p> $\mathbf{S} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n}) \mathbf{h}_n \right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}) \mathbf{h}_n,$ <p>where $\{\mathbf{h}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a sequence in \mathcal{H} with finite support. Using (a'), we obtain</p> $\left\ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n}) \mathbf{h}_n \right\ _K^2 = \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{m}) \mathbf{h}_m, \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n}) \mathbf{h}_n \rangle_K = \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \mathbf{V}^*(\mathbf{n}) \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{m}) \mathbf{h}_m, \mathbf{h}_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) \mathbf{h}_m, \mathbf{h}_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$ <p>and</p> $\left\ \mathbf{S} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n}) \mathbf{h}_n \right) \right\ _K^2 = \left\ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}) \mathbf{h}_n \right\ _K^2 = \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{1}) \mathbf{h}_m, \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}) \mathbf{h}_n \rangle_K = \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{1}) \mathbf{h}_m, \mathbf{h}_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$ <p>Since \mathbf{K} is a Toeplitz kernel, we have that $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{1})$ for $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore,</p> $\left\ \mathbf{S} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n}) \mathbf{h}_n \right) \right\ _K^2 = \left\ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n}) \mathbf{h}_n \right\ _K^2.$ <p>By the definition of \mathbf{S}, it follows that</p> $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}), \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}.$ <p>Hence, for $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbf{m} > \mathbf{n}$, the equality</p> $\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n})$ <p>holds and then</p> $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{V}^*(\mathbf{0}) \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{V}^*(\mathbf{0}) \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{0}).$ <p>Taking $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{0})$, we finish the proof of (a). Part (b) follows immediately from (b') and the previous results. Part (c) is obtained as a repetition of the corresponding part in Theorem Kolmogorov. The operator \mathbf{S} will be referred to as the <i>Naimark dilation</i> or <i>shift</i> in \mathcal{H}_K.</p>
Núcleos de Toeplitz generalizados
<p>Consideraremos los conjuntos $\mathbb{Z}_1 = \{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z} : \mathbf{n} \geq \mathbf{0}\}$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z} : \mathbf{n} < \mathbf{0}\}$, $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z} : \mathbf{n} > \mathbf{0}\}$ y $\mathbb{Z}_\alpha - \mathbb{Z}_\beta = \{\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n} : \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_\alpha, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_\beta\}$ con $\alpha, \beta = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}$. Es posible probar lo siguiente:</p> $\mathbb{Z}_1 - \mathbb{Z}_1 = \mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_2 - \mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_1 - \mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad \mathbb{Z}_2 - \mathbb{Z}_1 = -\mathbb{Z}^+.$ <p>Definicion1 Sea $\mathbf{K} : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})$ un núcleo. Se dice que \mathbf{K} es un núcleo de Toeplitz generalizado si existen cuatro funciones $\mathbf{k}_{\alpha\beta} : \mathbb{Z}_\alpha - \mathbb{Z}_\beta \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H})$ tal que</p> $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{k}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n}), \quad \text{para todo } (\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}_\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_\beta.$ <p>Definicion2 Un núcleo de Toeplitz generalizado sobre \mathbb{Z} consiste en un conjunto $\mathbf{K} = \{(\mathbf{k}_{\alpha\beta}), \alpha, \beta = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}; \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2\}$ formado por dos espacios de Hilbert \mathcal{H}_1 y \mathcal{H}_2 y cuatro funciones</p> $\mathbf{k}_{\alpha\beta} : \mathbb{Z}_\alpha - \mathbb{Z}_\beta \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha, \mathcal{H}_\beta), \quad \alpha, \beta = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2},$ <p>tal que $\mathbf{k}_{21}(\mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{k}_{21}^*(-\mathbf{n})$ es cierto para todo $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Se dice que el núcleo de Toeplitz generalizado \mathbf{K}, es definido positivo si</p> $\sum_{\alpha, \beta = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}_\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}_\beta} \langle \mathbf{k}_{\alpha\beta}(i - j) \mathbf{h}_\alpha(i), \mathbf{h}_\beta(j) \rangle_{\mathbb{H}_\beta} \geq \mathbf{0} \tag{3}$ <p>con $\mathbf{h}_\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ una sucesión con soporte finito y $\alpha = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}$.</p>
Teorema de Naimark para núcleos de Toeplitz generalizados
<p>THEOREM Sea $\mathbf{K} = \{(\mathbf{k}_{\alpha\beta}), \alpha, \beta = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}; \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2\}$ un núcleo de Toeplitz generalizado definido positivo sobre \mathbb{Z}. Entonces existen un espacio de Hilbert \mathcal{H}_K, un operador unitario \mathbf{S} en $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_K)$, operadores \mathbf{Q}_1 en $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_K)$ y \mathbf{Q}_2 en $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_K)$ tales que</p> <p>(a) $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = \mathbf{Q}_1^* \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{Q}_1$ si $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_1$ (b) $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = \mathbf{Q}_2^* \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{Q}_2$ si $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$</p> <p>(c) $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = \mathbf{Q}_2^* \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{Q}_1$ si $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_1$ y $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ (d) $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = \mathbf{Q}_1^* \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{Q}_2$ si $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ y $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_1$</p> <p>(e) $\mathcal{H}_K = \bigvee_{\alpha = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}} \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_\alpha} \mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{Q}_\alpha \mathcal{H}_\alpha$.</p> <p>(f) Si existe otro espacio de Hilbert \mathcal{H}', un operador unitario \mathbf{S}' en $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{H}')$ y operadores \mathbf{Q}'_α con $\alpha = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}$ que satisfacen (1),(2), entonces existe un operador unitario $\Phi : \mathcal{H}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ tal que $\Phi \mathbf{S}^n \mathbf{Q}_\alpha = (\mathbf{S}')^n \mathbf{Q}'_\alpha$, para todo $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ y además $\mathbf{S}' \Phi = \Phi \mathbf{S}$.</p>
Referencias
<p>ⓘ Constantinescu, T.: Schur Parameters, Factorization and Dilation Problems (1st edit.), Birkhäuser Verlag, 1996.</p> <p>ⓘ Evans, D. E. and Lewis, J. T.: Dilations of Irreversible Evolutions in Algebraic Quantum Theory, Communications of the Dublin Institute of Advanced Studies, Series A(Theoretical Physics), 1977.</p> <p>ⓘ Arocena, R.: On Generalized Toeplitz Kernels and their relation with a paper of Adamjan, Arov and Krein, North-Holand Math. Stud, 86(1984), pp. 1-12.</p>