

# NP-Intermediate Problems and Quantum Algorithms

Tristram Bogart

Universidad de los Andes

31 May 2013

# Outline

- ▶ Complexity classes and graph theory
- ▶ The graph isomorphism problem
- ▶ The hidden subgroup problem and quantum algorithms
- ▶ The abelian case
- ▶ The symmetric group case and graph isomorphisms

## P and NP

A (yes-no) decision problem is in **complexity class  $P$**  if there is a algorithm (Turing machine) to solve it and a polynomial  $p$  such that for all  $n$  and all input of bit-length  $n$ , the algorithm terminates correctly in at most  $p(n)$  steps.

# P and NP

A (yes-no) decision problem is in **complexity class  $P$**  if there is an algorithm (Turing machine) to solve it and a polynomial  $p$  such that for all  $n$  and all input of bit-length  $n$ , the algorithm terminates correctly in at most  $p(n)$  steps.

A decision problem is in **class NP** if a 'yes' answer can always be verified in polynomial time with the aid of an appropriate **certificate**. A problem is in **co-NP** if a 'no' answer can be similarly verified.

# P and NP

A (yes-no) decision problem is in **complexity class  $P$**  if there is an algorithm (Turing machine) to solve it and a polynomial  $p$  such that for all  $n$  and all input of bit-length  $n$ , the algorithm terminates correctly in at most  $p(n)$  steps.

A decision problem is in **class  $NP$**  if a 'yes' answer can always be verified in polynomial time with the aid of an appropriate **certificate**. A problem is in **co- $NP$**  if a 'no' answer can be similarly verified.

Note that  $P \subseteq NP \cap \text{co-}NP$ .

**Million-dollar question:** Does  $P$  equal  $NP$ ?

# Graph problems in $P$

A **graph** is a finite set  $V$  of **vertices** and a set  $E$  of **edges**, given as pairs of vertices.

The following graph theoretic problems are in  $P$ :

- ▶ **Connected:** Given a graph  $\Gamma$ , is there a path between every pair of vertices?
- ▶ **Bipartite:** Given a graph  $\Gamma$ , can its vertices be partitioned into two sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that every edge has one end in  $A$  and the other in  $B$ ?
- ▶ **Eulerian circuit:** Given a graph  $\Gamma$ , does  $\Gamma$  contain a (closed) circuit that includes each edge of  $\Gamma$  exactly once?

# Graph problems in $P$

A **graph** is a finite set  $V$  of **vertices** and a set  $E$  of **edges**, given as pairs of vertices.

The following graph theoretic problems are in  $P$ :

- ▶ **Connected:** Given a graph  $\Gamma$ , is there a path between every pair of vertices?
- ▶ **Bipartite:** Given a graph  $\Gamma$ , can its vertices be partitioned into two sets  $A$  and  $B$  such that every edge has one end in  $A$  and the other in  $B$ ?
- ▶ **Eulerian circuit:** Given a graph  $\Gamma$ , does  $\Gamma$  contain a (closed) circuit that includes each edge of  $\Gamma$  exactly once?

A graph has an Eulerian circuit if and only if every vertex has even degree.

# Graph problems in NP

- ▶ **k-Clique:** Given a graph  $\Gamma$  and a number  $k$ , does  $\Gamma$  contain a complete subgraph with  $k$  vertices?
- ▶ **k-Chromatic:** Given a graph  $\Gamma$  and a number  $k$ , can the vertices of  $\Gamma$  be colored with  $k$  colors such that no two adjacent vertices have the same color?
- ▶ **Hamiltonian:** Given a graph  $\Gamma$ , does  $\Gamma$  contain a cycle that passes through each vertex exactly once?
- ▶ **Graph Isomorphism:** Given graphs  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , is there a bijection  $f$  from the vertices of  $\Gamma_1$  to the vertices of  $\Gamma_2$  such that  $\{u, v\}$  is an edge of  $\Gamma_1$  if and only if  $\{f(u), f(v)\}$  is an edge of  $\Gamma_2$ ?



# Graph problems in NP

- ▶ **k-Clique:** Given a graph  $\Gamma$  and a number  $k$ , does  $\Gamma$  contain a complete subgraph with  $k$  vertices?
- ▶ **k-Chromatic:** Given a graph  $\Gamma$  and a number  $k$ , can the vertices of  $\Gamma$  be colored with  $k$  colors such that no two adjacent vertices have the same color?
- ▶ **Hamiltonian:** Given a graph  $\Gamma$ , does  $\Gamma$  contain a cycle that passes through each vertex exactly once?
- ▶ **Graph Isomorphism:** Given graphs  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , is there a bijection  $f$  from the vertices of  $\Gamma_1$  to the vertices of  $\Gamma_2$  such that  $\{u, v\}$  is an edge of  $\Gamma_1$  if and only if  $\{f(u), f(v)\}$  is an edge of  $\Gamma_2$ ?

In each case, the desired object is itself a certificate whenever the answer is YES. None of the problems are known to be in co-NP.

# NP-completeness

A problem  $X$  is

- ▶ **NP-hard** if every problem in  $NP$  can be reduced to  $X$  in polynomial time.
- ▶ **NP-complete** if it is both in  $NP$  and NP-hard.
- ▶ **NP-intermediate** if it is  $NP$ , but neither in  $P$  nor NP-Hard.

By definition, if some NP-complete problem can be solved in polynomial-time, then  $P=NP$ .

# NP-completeness

A problem  $X$  is

- ▶ **NP-hard** if every problem in  $NP$  can be reduced to  $X$  in polynomial time.
- ▶ **NP-complete** if it is both in  $NP$  and NP-hard.
- ▶ **NP-intermediate** if it is  $NP$ , but neither in  $P$  nor NP-Hard.

By definition, if some NP-complete problem can be solved in polynomial-time, then  $P=NP$ .

**Theorem (Cook, '71)** The problem SAT (satisfiability of Boolean functions) is NP-complete.

**Theorem (Karp, '72)** The problems k-Clique, k-Chromatic, Hamiltonian (and several others) are NP-complete.

# NP-completeness

A problem  $X$  is

- ▶ **NP-hard** if every problem in  $NP$  can be reduced to  $X$  in polynomial time.
- ▶ **NP-complete** if it is both in  $NP$  and NP-hard.
- ▶ **NP-intermediate** if it is  $NP$ , but neither in  $P$  nor NP-Hard.

By definition, if some NP-complete problem can be solved in polynomial-time, then  $P=NP$ .

**Theorem (Cook, '71)** The problem SAT (satisfiability of Boolean functions) is NP-complete.

**Theorem (Karp, '72)** The problems k-Clique, k-Chromatic, Hamiltonian (and several others) are NP-complete.

In fact most problems in  $NP$  are either known to be in  $P$  or are NP-complete. Graph Isomorphism is an exception, as is factoring.

# Friendliness of Graph Isomorphism

- ▶ There are polynomial-time algorithms for important special cases such as planar graphs, graphs of bounded vertex degree, and graphs whose adjacency matrices have bounded eigenvalue multiplicity.
- ▶ Non-isomorphic graphs usually can be easily distinguished by degree sequence, counting small subgraphs, or eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix
- ▶ There are algorithms that usually run in polynomial time in practice, though take exponential time in the worst case.
- ▶ The problem of counting isomorphisms reduces in polynomial time to the decision problem, unlike for many NP-hard problems.

# Isomorphisms and automorphisms

Let  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  be graphs on  $n$  vertices and  $\Gamma$  be their disjoint union. An isomorphism between  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  is an automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $\Gamma$  that interchanges  $V(\Gamma_1)$  with  $V(\Gamma_2)$ .

# Isomorphisms and automorphisms

Let  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  be graphs on  $n$  vertices and  $\Gamma$  be their disjoint union. An isomorphism between  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  is an automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $\Gamma$  that interchanges  $V(\Gamma_1)$  with  $V(\Gamma_2)$ .

Given generators of  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ , we can check in polynomial time if any automorphism has the interchange property. So Graph Isomorphism reduces to finding generators for  $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) \leq S_{2n}$ , a special case of ...

## The hidden subgroup problem

Given a finite group  $G$ , find generators of an unknown subgroup  $H$ .  
We are allowed to call a function  $f$  on  $G$  that satisfies:

$$f(x) = f(y) \Leftrightarrow x, y \text{ are in the same coset of } H.$$



## The hidden subgroup problem

Given a finite group  $G$ , find generators of an unknown subgroup  $H$ . We are allowed to call a function  $f$  on  $G$  that satisfies:

$$f(x) = f(y) \Leftrightarrow x, y \text{ are in the same coset of } H.$$

**Example:** Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^3 = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle$  and  $H = \langle y_1 + y_2 \rangle$ , a two-element subgroup. Define  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^2$  by  $f(a, b, c) = (a + b, c)$ . Then  $f$  is constant on the cosets of  $H$  and distinguishes them.

# The hidden subgroup problem

Given a finite group  $G$ , find generators of an unknown subgroup  $H$ . We are allowed to call a function  $f$  on  $G$  that satisfies:

$$f(x) = f(y) \Leftrightarrow x, y \text{ are in the same coset of } H.$$

**Example:** Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^3 = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle$  and  $H = \langle y_1 + y_2 \rangle$ , a two-element subgroup. Define  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^2$  by  $f(a, b, c) = (a + b, c)$ . Then  $f$  is constant on the cosets of  $H$  and distinguishes them.

To solve the hidden subgroup problem, we will study **representations** of the group  $G$ : homomorphisms  $\rho$  from  $G$  to  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . The number  $d_\rho := n$  is the **dimension** of the representation. The **character**  $\chi_\rho(g)$  is the trace of the matrix  $\rho(g)$ .

## A quantum algorithm for the HSP

Define a state  $|g\rangle$  for each  $g \in G$ . Define states  $|(\rho, i, j)\rangle$  for each irreducible representation  $\rho$  and each matrix entry  $(i, j)$

# A quantum algorithm for the HSP

Define a state  $|g\rangle$  for each  $g \in G$ . Define states  $|(\rho, i, j)\rangle$  for each irreducible representation  $\rho$  and each matrix entry  $(i, j)$

Define the following operators:

- ▶ An operator  $S$  that superposes the elements of  $G$ .
- ▶ An operator  $U_f$  that evaluates  $f$ ; that is,

$$U_f(|g\rangle \otimes |00\dots 0\rangle) = |g\rangle \otimes |f(g)\rangle$$

- ▶ The **quantum Fourier transform**  $\mathcal{F}$  that superposes all possible irreducible representations of a given element of  $G$ .

For appropriate groups  $G$ , each can be implemented with polynomially many basic quantum operations.

## A quantum algorithm for the HSP, continued

- ▶ Initialize two quantum registers, one for elements of  $G$  and another for values of  $f$ .
- ▶ Apply  $S$  to the first register to get

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} \sum_{g \in G} |g\rangle \otimes |00\dots 0\rangle .$$

- ▶ Apply  $U_f$  to get

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} \sum_{g \in G} |g\rangle \otimes |f(g)\rangle .$$

- ▶ Measure the second register. The result is  $f(c)$  for some random  $c \in G$ , giving

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|H|}} \sum_{h \in H} |hc\rangle \otimes |f(c)\rangle .$$

## A quantum algorithm for the HSP, continued

- ▶ Ignore the second register and apply  $\mathcal{F}$  to the first, giving

$$\sum_{\rho \text{ irrep of } G} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_\rho} \frac{\sqrt{d_\rho}}{\sqrt{|G||H|}} \left( \sum_{h \in H} \rho(ch)_{i,j} |\rho, i, j\rangle \right).$$

- ▶ Measure the representation  $\rho$ . The probability of a given  $\rho$  is

$$\frac{d_\rho \sum_{h \in H} \chi_\rho(h)}{|G|}.$$

- ▶ Repeat enough times to effectively sample  $H$ .

## Representations of abelian groups

The representations of a cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_n = \langle y \rangle$  are all one-dimensional, given by  $y \mapsto e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{n}}$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ . The quantum Fourier transform in this case is the regular Fourier transform.

In particular, for  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , we have the **trivial representation** given by  $y \mapsto 1$  and the **sign representation** given by  $y \mapsto -1$ .

## Representations of abelian groups

The representations of a cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_n = \langle y \rangle$  are all one-dimensional, given by  $y \mapsto e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{n}}$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ . The quantum Fourier transform in this case is the regular Fourier transform.

In particular, for  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , we have the **trivial representation** given by  $y \mapsto 1$  and the **sign representation** given by  $y \mapsto -1$ .

For  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$  we have  $2^n$  representations given by  $y_i \mapsto \pm 1$  for each  $i$ . Given such a  $\rho$ ,

$$\rho \left( \sum_{i \in I} y_i \right) = -1^{\#\{i \in I : \rho(y_i) = -1\}}.$$

That is, the representations give the (vector space) dual to  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ .



## An abelian example

Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^3 = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle$  and  $H = \langle y_1 + y_2 \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

$\rho$	$\rho(e)$	$\rho(y_1 + y_2)$	$\text{Prob}(\rho)$
(+,+,+)	1	1	2/8
(+,+,-)	1	1	2/8
(+,-,+)	1	-1	0
(+,-,-)	1	-1	0
(-,+,+)	1	-1	0
(-,+,-)	1	-1	0
(-,-,+)	1	1	2/8
(-,-,-)	1	1	2/8

## An abelian example

Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^3 = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle$  and  $H = \langle y_1 + y_2 \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

$\rho$	$\rho(e)$	$\rho(y_1 + y_2)$	Prob( $\rho$ )
(+,+,+)	1	1	2/8
(+,+,-)	1	1	2/8
(+,-,+)	1	-1	0
(+,-,-)	1	-1	0
(-,+,+)	1	-1	0
(-,+,-)	1	-1	0
(-,-,+)	1	1	2/8
(-,-,-)	1	1	2/8

Thus the algorithm gives a random representation dual to  $H$ . The same holds for any subgroup  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ . With high probability,  $K^*$  is generated by  $2n$  random elements of it. Finally,  $K^*$  determines  $K$ .

# Irreducible representations of the symmetric group $S_3$

- ▶ Trivial representation:  $\rho_{\text{triv}}(\sigma) = 1$  for all permutations  $\sigma$ .
- ▶ Sign representation:  $\rho_{\text{sign}}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is even} \\ -1 & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$
- ▶ Standard representation  $\rho_{\text{std}}$ : let  $S_3$  act on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  by permuting coordinates. Restrict the action to the plane given by  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$ . Choose a basis for the plane: say  $\{e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3\}$ .

# Irreducible representations of the symmetric group $S_3$

- ▶ Trivial representation:  $\rho_{\text{triv}}(\sigma) = 1$  for all permutations  $\sigma$ .
- ▶ Sign representation:  $\rho_{\text{sign}}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is even} \\ -1 & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$
- ▶ Standard representation  $\rho_{\text{std}}$ : let  $S_3$  act on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  by permuting coordinates. Restrict the action to the plane given by  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$ . Choose a basis for the plane: say  $\{e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3\}$ .

The respective dimensions are 1, 1, and 2. Since  $1^2 + 1^2 + 2^2 = 6 = |S_3|$ , Matschke's theorem guarantees that they are the only irreducible representations of  $S_3$  over  $\mathbb{C}$

## Sampling subgroups of $S_3$

$\sigma \in S_3$	$\rho_{\text{triv}}(\sigma)$	$\rho_{\text{sgn}}(\sigma)$	$\rho_{\text{std}}(\sigma)$	$\chi_{\text{std}}(\sigma)$
$e$	1	1	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	2
$(12)$	1	-1	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	0
$(23)$	1	-1	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	0
$(13)$	1	-1	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	0
$(123)$	1	1	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	-1
$(132)$	1	1	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	2

## Sampling subgroups of $S_3$ , continued

For the trivial group  $\{e\}$ :

$$\Pr(\rho_{\text{triv}}) = 1 \cdot \frac{\chi_{\text{triv}}(e)}{6} = 1/6$$

$$\Pr(\rho_{\text{sgn}}) = 1 \cdot \frac{\chi_{\text{sgn}}(e)}{6} = 1/6$$

$$\Pr(\rho_{\text{std}}) = 2 \cdot \frac{\chi_{\text{std}}(e)}{6} = 4/6$$

## Sampling subgroups of $S_3$ , continued

For the trivial group  $\{e\}$ :

$$\Pr(\rho_{\text{triv}}) = 1 \cdot \frac{\chi_{\text{triv}}(e)}{6} = 1/6$$

$$\Pr(\rho_{\text{sgn}}) = 1 \cdot \frac{\chi_{\text{sgn}}(e)}{6} = 1/6$$

$$\Pr(\rho_{\text{std}}) = 2 \cdot \frac{\chi_{\text{std}}(e)}{6} = 4/6$$

For the group  $H = \langle (12) \rangle = \{e, (12)\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$ :

$$\Pr(\rho_{\text{triv}}) = 1 \cdot \frac{\chi_{\text{triv}}(e) + \chi_{\text{triv}}((12))}{6} = (1 + 1)/6 = 2/6$$

$$\Pr(\rho_{\text{sgn}}) = 1 \cdot \frac{\chi_{\text{sgn}}(e) + \chi_{\text{sgn}}((12))}{6} = (1 - 1)/6 = 0$$

$$\Pr(\rho_{\text{std}}) = 2 \cdot \frac{\chi_{\text{std}}(e) + \chi_{\rho}((12))}{6} = 2 \cdot (2 + 0)/6 = 4/6$$

## Sampling subgroups of $S_3$ , continued

For the trivial group  $\{e\}$ :

$$\Pr(\rho_{\text{triv}}) = 1 \cdot \frac{\chi_{\text{triv}}(e)}{6} = 1/6$$

$$\Pr(\rho_{\text{sgn}}) = 1 \cdot \frac{\chi_{\text{sgn}}(e)}{6} = 1/6$$

$$\Pr(\rho_{\text{std}}) = 2 \cdot \frac{\chi_{\text{std}}(e)}{6} = 4/6$$

For the group  $H = \langle(12)\rangle = \{e, (12)\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$ :

$$\Pr(\rho_{\text{triv}}) = 1 \cdot \frac{\chi_{\text{triv}}(e) + \chi_{\text{triv}}((12))}{6} = (1 + 1)/6 = 2/6$$

$$\Pr(\rho_{\text{sgn}}) = 1 \cdot \frac{\chi_{\text{sgn}}(e) + \chi_{\text{sgn}}((12))}{6} = (1 - 1)/6 = 0$$

$$\Pr(\rho_{\text{std}}) = 2 \cdot \frac{\chi_{\text{std}}(e) + \chi_{\rho}((12))}{6} = 2 \cdot (2 + 0)/6 = 4/6$$

To distinguish  $\langle(12)\rangle$  from the trivial group, we need to know with high probability that  $\rho_{\text{sgn}}$  does not show up.



## Negative results for $S_n$

**Theorem (Hallgren-Russell-Ta-Shma, '00)** Fourier sampling cannot distinguish the trivial subgroup of  $S_n$  from certain subgroups of order two in polynomial time with high probability.

## Negative results for $S_n$

**Theorem (Hallgren-Russell-Ta-Shma, '00)** Fourier sampling cannot distinguish the trivial subgroup of  $S_n$  from certain subgroups of order two in polynomial time with high probability.

In particular, if  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are two rigid graphs, then the isomorphism problem reduces to this case of the hidden subgroup problem.

## Negative results for $S_n$

**Theorem (Hallgren-Russell-Ta-Shma, '00)** Fourier sampling cannot distinguish the trivial subgroup of  $S_n$  from certain subgroups of order two in polynomial time with high probability.

In particular, if  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are two rigid graphs, then the isomorphism problem reduces to this case of the hidden subgroup problem.

**Strong Fourier sampling** is a variant of the algorithm where we keep track of not just the character of a representation  $\rho$ , but the whole matrix.

## Negative results for $S_n$

**Theorem (Hallgren-Russell-Ta-Shma, '00)** Fourier sampling cannot distinguish the trivial subgroup of  $S_n$  from certain subgroups of order two in polynomial time with high probability.

In particular, if  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are two rigid graphs, then the isomorphism problem reduces to this case of the hidden subgroup problem.

**Strong Fourier sampling** is a variant of the algorithm where we keep track of not just the character of a representation  $\rho$ , but the whole matrix.

**Theorem (Moore-Russell-Schulman, '08)** Strong Fourier sampling also cannot distinguish hidden subgroups of  $S_n$  in polynomial time with high probability.

**Question:** Can more intricate quantum algorithms efficiently solve the hidden subgroup problem for  $S_n$ ?

## References

- ▶ Scott Aaronson: BQP and the polynomial hierarchy, *Proceedings of the 42nd ACM symposium on theory of computing* (2010) 141–150.
- ▶ Jörg Bühler: Quantum approaches to the graph isomorphism problem, Diplomarbeit, Universität Karlsruhe (2006).
- ▶ M.R. Garey and D.S. Johnson: *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*, W.H. Freeman and Co. (1979). Alisa Bokulich and Gregg Jaeger (eds.): *Philosophy of Quantum Information and Entanglement*, Cambridge University Press (2010).
- ▶ A.Yu. Kitaev, A.H. Shen, and M.N.Vyalyi: *Classical and Quantum Computation*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 47 (2002)
- ▶ Cristopher Moore, Alexander Russell, and Leonard J. Schulman: The symmetric group defies strong Fourier sampling, *SIAM J. Comput.* 37(6) (2008), 1842–1864.